

1 Law Office of  
2 **Rubin Salter, Jr.**  
3 177 N. Church Avenue, #903  
4 Tucson, Arizona 85701  
5 520/623-5706 FAX: 520/623-1716  
6 State Bar No. 01710/PCC No. 50532  
7 rsjr3@aol.com  
8 KRISTIAN H. SALTER  
9 State Bar No. 026810/PAN#66213  
10 kristian.salter@gmail.com

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

13 **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**Case No.: CR20-00507-JAS-MSA**

14 **PLAINTIFF,**

15 **vs.**

**SENTENCING MEMORANDUM**

16 **Daniel Blas-Torreabla.**

17 **Defendant**

18 Defendant, Daniel Blas-Torreabla, by and through his attorney undersigned, hereby  
19 submits this Sentencing Memorandum and request for variance. The specific grounds for  
20 Defendant's request are fully set forth in the accompanying memorandum of points and  
21 authorities.

22 **Memorandum of Points and Authorities**

23 Defendant Daniel Blas-Torreabla is before this Court on his plea of guilty by way of plea  
24 agreement . The Final Presentence Investigation Report (herein PSR) recommends the Court  
25 impose a sentence of 12 months imprisonment for the underlying offense with 36 months of  
26 supervised release to follow. Daniel believes that a varied sentence of 36 months probation is  
27 most appropriate in this matter.

28 Daniel has lived much of his life outside of the criminal justice system until the instant  
offense. In fact, his only real interactions with the criminal justice system was a result of him  
being a victim of an armed robbery just prior to the events of the instant offense. This has been

1 documented in paragraph 34 of the presentence report. Not only was Daniel's car and property  
2 stolen but he was also shot at by one of the accused. The vehicle and property were never  
3 recovered. It was this event, and the financial loss he suffered as a result that led him to make the  
4 poor decision to commit the instant offense.

5 Daniel has always been considered a kind, hard working young man by both family and  
6 friends. Daniel's actions came as a shock to his family. This appears to be aberrant behavior on  
7 his part and not a pattern of criminal conduct or misdeeds. Additionally, it should be noted that  
8 Daniel has performed exceedingly well while on pretrial supervision. He has maintained  
9 employment, stayed free of the criminal justice system and is taking steps to improve his  
10 education and job prospects for the future. Given these characteristics, Daniel would make an  
11 excellent probationer.  
12

13 Daniel would also like the court to consider the impact that a prison sentence can have on  
14 a first time offender. As previously mentioned he has no criminal history. Given Daniel's lack of  
15 previous countable criminal history points, any sentence of imprisonment would be an unduly  
16 harsh sentence. *U.S. v. Paul* 239 F.App'x 353 (9th Cir. 2007) (defendant's 16-month sentence,  
17 the top end of the guideline range for unlawful receipt of federal funding, was unreasonably high  
18 because defendant was a first-time offender, returned the funds, and displayed remorse); *U.S. v.*  
19 *Jewell*, 2009 WL 1010877 (E.D.Ark. April 15, 2009)(defendant sentenced to 30 months in prison  
20 for aiding and abetting tax evasion, because guideline range near the statutory maximum of 5  
21 years was inappropriate for first time offender).  
22

23 Daniel has been remorseful for his actions and accepted responsibility in the offense and  
24 has acknowledged his poor choices that led him to be in front of your honor for sentencing.  
25 Daniel has had the opportunity to reflect on his conduct. He has performed admirably on pretrial  
26 release and he feels as though things are looking up for him in life despite this event. No matter  
27  
28

1 what sentence he receives Daniel knows that he has the ability and the resources to once again  
2 become a productive member of society and a law abiding citizen.

3 The potential for rehabilitation is another factor the Court may consider. See United  
4 States v. Bogdan, 284 F.3d 324, 330 (1st Cir. 2002) ("It is not uncommon for defendants to  
5 discover the virtues of introspection and remorse when facing the threat of punishment."). But  
6 separating wheat from chaff is primarily a task for the district court, and a founded prospect of  
7 meaningful rehabilitation remains a permissible basis for a variant sentence under the advisory  
8 guidelines. See 18 U.S.C. §3553(a)(2)(C) ("The court . . . shall consider the need for the sentence  
9 imposed to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant."); see also Smith, 445 F.3d at  
10 4-5.  
11

12 Although Daniel was always law abiding, these events have changed the way he thinks  
13 about himself and his actions. Daniel is a young man who now realizes what can be lost when he  
14 chooses to engage in criminal activity. Since his release he has worked hard to not only prove to  
15 himself and the court that he has learned from this episode, but also his family who has loved  
16 and supported him fully throughout this process.  
17

18 For the reasons stated above Mr. Blas-Torreabla respectfully requests that this court  
19 grant a variance in this matter downward to a level which would allow for a sentence of time  
20 served or probation. Such a sentence would provide both just punishment for the offense and the  
21 courts need to reflect the seriousness of the offense.  
22

23  
24 ///  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of May 2020.

2  
3 LAW OFFICE OF RUBIN SALTER, JR.

4  
5 *Kristian H. Salter*

6 

---

Kristian H. Salter

7  
8  
9 Certificate of Service

10 I hereby certify that on May 26th, 2020, I electronically transmitted the attached  
11 document to the Clerks' Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a  
12 Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants:

13 tanya.miller@usdoj.gov  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28